

Guidelines on small bowel enteroscopy and capsule endoscopy in adults

R Sidhu,¹ D S Sanders,¹ A J Morris,² M E McAlindon¹

¹ Department of Gastroenterology, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, UK; ² Department of Gastroenterology, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Glasgow, UK

Correspondence to: Dr M E McAlindon, Department of Gastroenterology, P39, P Floor, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Glossop Road, Sheffield S10 2JF, UK; mark.mcalindon@sth.nhs.uk

Revised 23 July 2007
Accepted 31 July 2007

Contents

- 1.0 Introduction
 - 2.0 Formulation of guidelines
 - 2.1 Grading of recommendations
 - 2.2 Scheduled review
 - 3.0 Summary of recommendations
 - 4.0 Types of small bowel enteroscopy
 - 4.1 Enteroscopy using a colonoscope
 - 4.2 Sonde enteroscopy
 - 4.3 Push enteroscopy
 - 4.4 Intraoperative enteroscopy
 - 4.5 Double balloon (push and pull) enteroscopy
 - 5.0 Capsule endoscopy
 - 5.1. Technique
 - 5.2 Indications for capsule endoscopy
 - 5.3 Complications of capsule endoscopy
 - 5.4 Patency capsule
 - 6.0 Service provision and training
- References

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The small bowel has historically been a difficult area to examine due to its anatomy, location and relative tortuosity. Examination beyond the duodenojejunal flexure is of importance in a number of small bowel disorders. Before the advent of enteroscopy or capsule endoscopy, radiographic studies had been the main investigative modality of the small bowel. Barium follow-through and enteroclysis permits indirect examination of the small bowel but has a low diagnostic yield particularly in the context of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding.¹⁻³

Capsule endoscopy and enteroscopy are now the preferred methods to examine the small bowel in most situations. These guidelines are intended to provide an evidence based document describing endoscopic investigation of small bowel disorders.

2.0 FORMULATION OF GUIDELINES

These guidelines were commissioned by the Clinical Services and Standards Committee of the British Society of Gastroenterology (BSG) and have been produced by the small bowel and endoscopy sections of the BSG. The guidelines have been produced to conform to the North of England evidence based guidelines development project.^{4,5} They have been drawn up from a Medline, Embase and Ovid literature search using terms “enteroscopy”, “push enteroscopy”, “intraoperative enteroscopy”, “double balloon enteroscopy” and “capsule endoscopy”. There have been 180 peer review studies, seven review articles, 58 case reports and letters, and one set of American guidelines on enteroscopy.⁶ The literature search

for capsule endoscopy includes 100 peer review studies, 51 review articles, 74 case studies and letters, 21 editorials, four pooled analyses and two sets of guidelines: American and European on capsule endoscopy.⁷⁻⁹

2.1 Grading of recommendations

Grade A—requires at least one randomised controlled trial as part of a body of literature of overall good quality and consistency addressing the specific recommendation (evidence categories Ia and Ib).

Grade B—requires the availability of clinical studies without randomisation on the topic of consideration (evidence categories IIa, IIb and III).

Grade C—requires evidence from expert committee reports or opinions or clinical experience of respected authorities, in the absence of directly applicable clinical studies of good quality (evidence category IV).

2.2 Scheduled review

The content and evidence base for these guidelines should be reviewed within 5 years of publication. We recommend that these guidelines are audited.

3.0 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- ▶ If there is a high suspicion of bleeding from an upper GI source, a second look endoscopy should be undertaken prior to CE to ensure no pathology has been missed. (*grade B*)
- ▶ Patients presenting with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding with a negative gastroscopy and colonoscopy should undergo capsule endoscopy if no contraindications exist. (*grade B*)
- ▶ All patients undergoing CE for any indication should be appropriately counselled on the risks of capsule retention. (*grade C*)
- ▶ Non-passage of a capsule may occur in the presence of a normal radiological contrast study. (*grade B*)
- ▶ Those patients with pathology/bleeding sites identified on CE should subsequently undergo either a PE or DBE (oral/anal route) depending on location/site of bleeding. (*grade B*)
- ▶ Push enteroscopy should ideally be performed using a dedicated push enteroscope. (*grade B*)
- ▶ Endoscopic therapy should be attempted to minimise further bleeding episodes. (*grade B*)
- ▶ In patients with a negative CE and persistent OGB, a second look capsule endoscopy may be considered. If this is negative they should be referred for DBE. (*grade C*)
- ▶ Intraoperative endoscopy should be reserved for patients with persistent significant GI

bleeding in whom the bleeding source remains undiagnosed. (grade B)

- ▶ CE should be considered in patients with a high suspicion of small bowel Crohn's disease based on the clinical history and inflammatory markers undetected by conventional means. Patients with abdominal pain as a significant feature should have radiological imaging to exclude a stricture prior to CE. (grade C)
- ▶ CE should be considered in patients with refractory coeliac disease to look for coeliac associated complications. (grade C)

4.0 TYPES OF SMALL BOWEL ENTEROSCOPY

4.1 Enteroscopy using a colonoscope

The small bowel may be examined using a standard adult or a paediatric colonoscope without the purchase of a dedicated small bowel endoscope. The colonoscope is advanced as far as possible with the aid of abdominal pressure and change of position of the patient. Using this method, up to 60 cm of small bowel beyond the ligament of Treitz can be examined.⁶ In practice the stiffness of the adult colonoscope makes advancement difficult and the flexibility of the paediatric colonoscope causes frequent looping therefore this technique is of limited value.

4.2 Sonde enteroscopy

The sonde fibreoptic enteroscope, first described by Tada *et al* in 1977, has a working length of 250–400 cm, and is passed orally or nasally.¹⁰ It is advanced into the duodenum with the aid of another orally passed endoscope.⁶ It is then propelled through the small bowel by peristalsis. The main disadvantages are the lack of tip deflection, biopsy channel and length of time (from 4–6 h) taken for this examination which makes patient tolerance poor.⁶ The use of this method of examining the small bowel has largely been superseded by other modalities.

4.3 Push enteroscopy

Push enteroscopy is currently the most frequently used endoscopic method for small bowel examination.^{11 12} Dedicated push enteroscopes are 2–2.5 m in length with biopsy channels that can accommodate a range of accessories for therapeutic intervention.^{13 14}

4.3.1 Technique

The endoscope is introduced orally and passed into the duodenum beyond the ampulla of Vater. After traversing the curve of the second part of the duodenum, the enteroscope is straightened to reduce any loops formed in the stomach. The enteroscope is then pushed to the maximum length of insertion.¹⁵ It is performed as an outpatient procedure, under conscious sedation and takes between 15 and 45 min.¹³

4.3.2 Use of an overtube

Initial studies using an overtube (first described in 1987¹⁶) showed an increase in depth of insertion with its use.^{17–19} A number of reported complications, which include mucosal stripping,²⁰ duodenal perforation,¹⁷ pharyngeal tear,¹⁴ pancreatitis and Mallory–Weis tear²¹ have been reported with the use of the overtube and this may limit its application during enteroscopy. Later studies with graded stiffness enteroscopes have questioned the additional value of the overtube, hence many units no longer use it in routine practice.^{22–24} The depth of insertion during push enteroscopy and the length of small bowel examined (30–160 cm) is variable.^{13–15 17 21 22 24–31} Two

methods can be used to measure the maximum length of small bowel examined: metric measurement from pylorus on withdrawal after straightening, or fluoroscopy which helps to ascertain absence of a gastric loop.^{18 21 22 27 31}

4.3.3 Indications for push enteroscopy

Push enteroscopy is indicated in the following clinical situations:

- (a) Diagnostic
 - ▶ Obscure gastrointestinal bleeding
 - ▶ Malabsorption and unexplained diarrhoea
 - ▶ Exploration of radiographic abnormalities of the proximal small bowel
 - ▶ Investigation of small bowel tumours
- (b) Therapeutic
 - ▶ Thermocoagulation of bleeding lesions
 - ▶ Placement of jejunostomy tubes
- (c) Surveillance
 - ▶ Polyposis syndromes

(a) Diagnostic

Obscure gastrointestinal bleeding

In most patients who present with gastrointestinal haemorrhage, prompt investigation by way of clinical assessment and endoscopy of the upper or lower gastrointestinal tract provides a satisfactory diagnosis. The main indication for push enteroscopy is obscure gastrointestinal bleeding (OGB) when initial gastroscopy and colonoscopy have failed to detect the source of bleeding. This occurs in approximately 5% of patients who present with gastrointestinal haemorrhage.^{32 33} The investigation and management of OGB provides a resource intensive challenge for clinicians through repeated hospital admissions, investigations, transfusions and medical or surgical therapy.³⁴ OGB is sub-classified as overt with the presence of melaena or haematochezia, or occult with anaemia and/or positive faecal occult blood testing.³⁵ The diagnosis is often delayed due to slow or intermittent haemorrhage that is not detected during endoscopy or angiography. In the elderly, multiple potential bleeding sites may be seen without a clear indication of which lesion is the source of haemorrhage.³⁶ The diagnostic yield of OGB with push enteroscopy is between 12 and 80%.^{12 13 15 23 26 27 37–49} with the highest yield in patients with overt bleeding.

Push enteroscopy has proven value in the investigation of patients with suspected GI haemorrhage when initial conventional endoscopy is normal. (recommendation grade B)

Twelve to sixty-four per cent of lesions located with push enteroscopy are within the reach of a standard endoscope.^{12–14 21 23 24 27 37–40 42 43 45 46 48 50 51} Lesions commonly missed are Cameron's ulcers (linear ulceration in large hiatus hernia), varices, peptic ulcer disease⁴³ and gastric arterial vascular ectasia (GAVE) which can be diagnosed as gastritis by the inexperienced endoscopist.^{52 53}

Repeat gastroscopy is recommended if an upper GI source is suspected despite the initial negative gastroscopy.^{17 39–42} (recommendation grade B)

Malabsorption and unexplained diarrhoea

Duodenal biopsy during upper GI endoscopy is the accepted approach to obtain histology in patients with suspected malabsorption. There is a modest role for push enteroscopy in patients with malabsorption when the duodenal biopsies are abnormal but non-diagnostic or if these individuals are endomysial antibody positive but have had a previously normal

duodenal biopsy.^{29 54 55} In patients with refractory coeliac disease, in one small study, PE identified lymphoma in all four patients that were referred for investigation of refractory disease.⁴⁶ In a similar cohort of eight patients, PE diagnosed ulcerative jejunitis in 50%.⁵⁶ Push enteroscopy has also been shown to be useful in smaller studies in detecting rarer causes of diarrhoea such as lymphangiectasia and atypical infections (cyclospora, microsporidia)⁵⁷ and sprue related strongyloidosis,¹⁷ when duodenal biopsies have been normal.

Push enteroscopy to obtain jejunal biopsies should be considered in patients suspected of malabsorption with positive anti-endomysial antibody and non-diagnostic duodenal biopsies. (*recommendation grade C*)

Radiological abnormalities

The use of push enteroscopy in the evaluation of abnormal radiographic studies has been shown to be helpful in confirming small bowel pathology in 33–83% of cases.^{13 17 23 25 28 58} However the endoscopist has to be confident that the area in question has been reached, to ensure the validity of a negative endoscopic examination. The enteroscope should be advanced beyond the area as far as possible and fluoroscopic verification can be helpful.²⁸

Push enteroscopy is useful in investigation of proximal small bowel abnormalities detected by radiology. (*recommendation grade C*)

Small bowel tumours

Small bowel tumours account for 5–7% of patients presenting with OGB.^{59 60} It is the most common cause in patients under 50 years of age presenting with obscure GI bleeding.^{12 14 37 40 60} These patients may be asymptomatic at early stages or present with abdominal pain, episodes of obstruction or weight loss. The most common location for both epithelial and non-epithelial small bowel tumours is the jejunum while carcinoids are more common in the ileum.⁶¹ Diagnostic methods for small bowel tumours include enteroclysis, computed tomographic scanning, magnetic resonance imaging, arteriography and enteroscopy. In unselected case series the yield of small bowel tumours diagnosed during enteroscopy is between 3.5 and 11%.^{12 14 47 50 60} However, in some of these cases, there was already a suspicious lesion identified by small bowel imaging. *Push enteroscopy offers the important opportunity of taking biopsies when the neoplastic lesion has been identified.* (*recommendation grade C*) However, this approach can only be taken for lesions within the reach of an enteroscope. The adjuvant use of capsule endoscopy may enhance the selection of patients in whom proximal small bowel lesions could be reached and histology obtained.

(b) Therapeutic

Thermocoagulation of bleeding lesions

Angioectasia are the single most common cause of bleeding in patients above the age of 50 years.^{14 21 34 40–43 62} and may account for up to 80% of the diagnoses.⁶³ *Angioectasia should be treated with thermocoagulation to reduce the incidences of recurrent haemorrhage.*^{15 42 47 50 64} (*recommendation grade B*). Follow-up studies of patients with OGB and treatment initiated at enteroscopy, demonstrated a reduction in rebleeding episodes and transfusion requirement.^{30 38 43 63}

Feeding jejunostomy

Percutaneous endoscopic jejunostomy (PEJ) placement is a modification of the PEG method (percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy) described by Ponsky and Gauderer⁶⁵ to provide alimention directly into the small bowel. Indications include prior gastric resection or failure to locate the stomach due to

abnormal anatomy and recurrent aspiration.⁶⁶ It can either be placed directly into the small bowel⁶⁶ or as a jejunal extension from a PEG.^{67 68} The endoscopist is responsible for assessing the need for topical anaesthesia and sedation.⁶⁹ The current BSG guidelines advise prophylactic antibiotics for insertion of PEGs.⁷⁰ Intravenous antibiotics such as cefotaxime or co-amoxiclav have been shown to be effective in reducing peristomal infection.^{70–73} Further studies are needed to assess their role in PEJ placements.

With direct PEJ insertion, push enteroscopy is used to get into the jejunum. The tip of the enteroscope is manoeuvred to obtain clear transillumination through the abdominal wall before the stylet is introduced into the jejunal lumen. Small bowel peristalsis may cause loss of the transilluminated site.⁶⁶ For this reason, care needs to be taken to prevent the jejunum sliding and inadvertent puncture of other abdominal organs occurring. Complications that have been reported with PEJ include bleeding, aspiration and colonic perforation.⁶⁶ Available data suggests that aspiration still occurs despite more distal placement of feeding tubes. This is thought to be due to aspiration of the patient's own oropharyngeal secretions due to underlying neurological deficit or reflux of the feed.^{66 68 74} With jejunal extensions, commonly faced problems include occlusion and kinking of the tube, as well as malposition or migration into the stomach.⁶⁸ Separation of the inner jejunal tube from the outer PEG tube and aspiration may also occur.^{67 68 74}

Push enteroscopy is the method of choice for endoscopically placed feeding jejunostomy. (*recommendation grade C*)

(c) Surveillance

Polyposis syndromes

Patients with Peutz–Jeghers syndrome (PJS), a hereditary disorder characterised by mucocutaneous pigmentation and hamartomatous polyposis of the GI tract, are at risk of developing complications as a result of small bowel obstruction, intussusception and bleeding. The aim of management in these patients is to identify and remove the larger polyps endoscopically or surgically before they cause complications.⁶¹ Push enteroscopy allows exploration and polypectomy in the jejunum whilst intraoperative enteroscopy provides a supplementary means of removing polyps in the ileum.^{75 76}

Patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) are at risk of developing extra-colonic polyps, particularly in the duodenum and periampullary region. Surveillance using a side-viewing endoscope is recommended after the age of 20 years by experienced endoscopists, unless the patient has symptoms that warrant investigations earlier.⁷⁷ The Spigelman classification is used for staging of duodenal polyposis and is based on architectural parameters, grade of dysplasia, number and size of polyps.⁷⁸ Push enteroscopy is used for endoscopic screening in FAP patients to identify high risk individuals.

The best screening method for small bowel polyps in both conditions is yet to be established. (*recommendation grade C*)

4.4 Intraoperative enteroscopy

Intraoperative enteroscopy (IOE) allows complete examination of the small bowel, and is the current “gold standard” for diagnosing obscure GI bleeding. It is performed when the source of bleeding remains undiagnosed by conventional investigations and the bleeding is massive, continuous or recurrent.⁷⁹ The reported techniques of IOE vary in several important aspects: approach to intra-abdominal access (laparotomy versus laparoscopy), enteroscope used and technique of insertion (perorally or via multiple enterotomies).^{79–88} The introductory route is

chosen according to the location of the presumed pathology. The procedure is done jointly by the endoscopist and a surgeon. The surgeon telescopes segments of the small bowel over the enteroscope to aid passage. The mucosa is inspected on insertion to avoid mucosal trauma being misdiagnosed as vascular lesions. The surgeon is also able to identify mucosal lesions externally by transillumination from the enteroscope.⁸⁹ The air-trapping technique, which isolates segments of small bowel by gentle occlusion of the distal aspect, avoids excessive air insufflation and allows meticulous mucosal examination of each segment.^{83–90} Sequential segmental isolation and inspection is done in an antegrade fashion. Bleeding sites can be oversewn or segmental resections can be performed.^{87–89} The diagnostic rate of intraoperative enteroscopy for mucosal disease has been reported to range from 70 to 100%.^{80–82 90–93} Reported complications include prolonged post-operative ileus, mucosal or serosal tears, wound infection and multi-organ failure.^{81 82 88 89 91} IOE is able to identify treatable lesions with resolution of bleeding.^{82 83 88 90} It should, however, be reserved for a select group, particularly with the availability of double balloon enteroscopy which may allow complete small bowel visualisation and endoscopic treatment.

Intraoperative endoscopy should be reserved for patients with massive, continuous or recurrent gastrointestinal haemorrhage when other less invasive methods have failed to detect the source of bleeding. (*recommendation grade B*)

4.5 Double balloon (push and pull) enteroscopy

The DBE system (Fujinon, Inc., Japan) consists of a high resolution video endoscope with an outer diameter of 8.5 mm and a working length of 200 cm, and a flexible overtube with a length of 145 cm and an outer diameter of 12 mm.^{94 95} Air from a pressure controlled pump system is used to inflate and deflate the latex balloons that are attached to the distal end of the enteroscope.

The inflated balloon on the overtube is used to maintain a stable position while the enteroscope is advanced. The overtube balloon is deflated whilst the enteroscope balloon is inflated, and the overtube is advanced along the distal end of the enteroscope. This is described as the “push procedure”. This is followed by the “pull procedure” where both the enteroscope and the overtube are pulled back under endoscopic guidance, with both balloons inflated. This procedure is repeated multiple times to visualise the entire small bowel.^{96 97} The double balloon method reduces looping of the endoscope to a minimum. The average time for each approach (per-oral or per-anal) is 75 min.⁹⁸ DBE can be performed under both conscious sedation and general anaesthetic, the former being the preferred choice in most studies.^{98–106} Few complications have been reported with DBE: post-procedure abdominal pain which may occur in up to 20% of patients,¹⁰⁵ pancreatitis,^{108 107–109} bleeding and small bowel perforation which is more common after polypectomy of large polyps (>3 cm in size).^{110 111}

4.5.1 Comparison of DBE with other small bowel imaging modalities

Abnormal lesions seen by capsule endoscopy (CE) that are beyond the reach of the push enteroscope have previously been managed either conservatively or by undertaking IOE or surgery.¹¹² DBE allows visualisation of the majority of the small bowel (by combination of the oral and anal approach or oral approach alone).¹⁰⁵ DBE also has features of a conventional endoscope such as rinsing, suction, biopsy and, importantly, allows therapeutic intervention.^{97 113} The insertion route is

chosen according to the location of the suspected lesion.¹⁰⁶ Total enteroscopy may not be necessary in the majority of patients where the small bowel pathology or bleeding source is found and treated.^{100–102} A successful endpoint would be resolution of bleeding.^{102 113} In addition, total enteroscopy may not be achieved in all cases.^{102 114} However, in cases where total enteroscopy is required, it is recommended that DBE via both anal and oral approach are not performed on the same day.¹¹⁵ This limits the increased risk of patient discomfort due to the longer procedure time and air insufflation. Insufflation of carbon dioxide during colonoscopy, flexible sigmoidoscopy and endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography has been shown to reduce patient discomfort in a small number of studies.^{116–119} There are no published studies to date comparing the use of carbon dioxide versus air insufflation for routine DBE. Carbon dioxide insufflation has the potential to be a useful alternative in DBE due to the longer procedure time. For total enteroscopy, the most distal point should be marked or tattooed. Studies comparing DBE and PE have shown that antegrade DBE is superior to PE in length of insertion.^{104 120} A higher success rate for deep intubation of the small bowel and improved diagnostic yield has been described.^{96 105 106 120} The diagnostic yield from DBE is between 43 and 83%^{95 98 101 103 105 106 110 112 114 121–125} with a subsequent change in management for 57–84% of patients.^{101 102 105 114 125} Whilst DBE may be more labour intensive, another advantage is that it allows “to and fro” observation and controlled movement.^{126 127} CE allows localisation of lesions prior to DBE.^{127 128} CE not only allows an initial imaging study for small bowel pathology but findings on CE may affect the endoscopist’s choice of route of insertion for DBE.^{99 128} The ability to confirm pathology and allow therapeutic application, makes DBE complementary to CE.^{100 129–131} DBE may be preferable to IOE in angioectasia, as repeat procedures may be needed to ablate new lesions that develop over time.¹²¹ In cases where surgery may still be required, biopsy sampling and India ink marking with DBE provides useful information to the surgeons.⁹⁵ There have also been other therapeutic applications of DBE in the reported literature: the insertion of stents¹³² and the removal of them in patients with previous Roux-en-Y surgery,¹³³ DBE assisted chromoendoscopy in patients with FAP¹³⁴ and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) of the small bowel.¹³⁵ The use of EUS with DBE may be helpful to evaluate the depth of small bowel lesions and assessing the suitability of lesions for endoscopic mucosal resection. DBE has also been used to remove retained capsules, preventing the need for surgery.^{136–138}

DBE should be used complementary to CE particularly in the context of therapeutic intervention beyond the reach of PE. (*recommendation grade B*)

5.0 CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY

The capsule endoscope (CE) is a 26 by 11 mm capsule containing a battery-powered complementary metal oxide silicon imager (CMOS), a transmitter, antenna and four light emitting diodes.¹³⁹ The imager is activated by removal of the capsule from its magnetic holder and takes two images per second through the transparent plastic dome of the capsule. The capsule is swallowed and is propelled through the intestine by peristalsis. Currently, CE is manufactured by three companies: Pillcam SB, Given Imaging Ltd, Yoqneam, Israel; Olympus Endocapsule from Olympus, Japan; and OMOM capsule endoscope from Jinshan Science and Technology Group, Chongqing, China (not currently available in the UK). Whilst

the Pillcam uses CMOS imaging, the other two prototypes of CE use charge-coupled device (CCD) technology.⁹

5.1 Technique

Patients are fasted for between 8 and 12 h prior to the procedure. As the capsule usually leaves the stomach within 30 min, the patient is allowed to drink after 2 h and eat after 4 h. Images taken by the capsule are transmitted via eight sensors, which are secured to the abdominal wall, to a battery-powered data recorder worn on a belt. The equipment is removed after 8 h (the approximate battery life) by which time the capsule has reached the caecum in 85% of cases.¹⁴⁰ On completion of the procedure, the data from the recorder is downloaded onto a computer workstation which allows approximately 50 000 images to be viewed as a video. The average reading time of the video images takes between 40 and 60 min depending on the experience of the endoscopist.

The yield of CE can be affected by two problems: firstly, the presence of dark intestinal contents in the distal small bowel which may impair visualisation of the mucosa, and secondly the rate of gastric emptying and small bowel transit which could lead to the exhaustion of the capsule batteries before the capsule reaches the ileo-caecal valve. Incomplete examination occurs in 10–25% of cases.^{141–143} There have been a small number of studies and numerous abstracts addressing the use of bowel preparation (polyethylene glycol solution/oral sodium phosphate) to improve small bowel visualisation and the use of prokinetics (metoclopramide/domperidone/tegaserod/erythromycin) to accelerate transit times thereby improving the proportion of cases where the colon is reached.^{144–150} The current literature broadly suggests that by taking this approach, better quality of small bowel cleanliness is achieved; however, the optimal type of preparation, dosage and time of administration remains to be determined. In one prospective randomised study, the diagnostic yield was also found to be higher after bowel preparation.¹⁴⁶ It has been also reported that caecal visualisation rates are lower in patients having capsule endoscopy during hospitalisation.¹⁴³ Two small studies also suggested reduced intra-luminal bubbles and improved mucosal visibility after the administration of simethicone prior to CE.^{151 152} *The available data at present are insufficient to make a firm recommendation for preparation of the patient for CE. (recommendation grade C)*

5.2 Indications for capsule endoscopy

- ▶ Obscure gastrointestinal bleeding
- ▶ Small bowel Crohn's disease
- ▶ Assessment of coeliac disease
- ▶ Screening and surveillance for polyps in familial polyposis syndromes

5.2.1 Obscure overt and occult gastrointestinal bleeding

Capsule endoscopy (CE) now has an established role in patients with persistent obscure gastrointestinal bleeding (OGB) who have had a negative gastroscopy and colonoscopy. Most studies using CE in patients with OGB have been in comparison to other modalities of investigation of the small bowel. Prospective studies have consistently revealed a superior diagnostic yield for capsule endoscopy compared to push enteroscopy in patients with OGB.^{1 153–166} A recent meta-analysis (of 14 studies on patients with OGB) reported yields of 63% for CE and 28% for PE.¹⁶⁷ The yield of CE has also been shown to be superior to barium follow through and CT enteroclysis in the context of OGB.^{99 165 167 168} The second meta-analysis of 17 studies (526

patients) supports these findings: the rate difference (ie, the absolute pooled difference in the rate of positive findings) between capsule endoscopy and other investigative modalities for OGB was 37% (95% CI, 29.6 to 44.1).¹⁶⁵

The rate of rebleeding in patients with OGB and negative CE is significantly lower compared to those with a positive CE (48% versus 4.6% respectively).¹⁶⁹ In patients with a negative CE and cessation of bleeding, a conservative approach may be adopted.¹⁶⁹ *In the subgroup of patients with negative results on initial capsule endoscopy and persistent bleeding, a second look capsule endoscopy may be considered, as small studies have shown an additional yield of 35–75%.^{170 171} (recommendation grade C)*

When comparing more invasive forms of endoscopy (DBE) with capsule endoscopy, diagnostic rates are similar. Studies comparing DBE and capsule endoscopy have shown diagnostic yields of between 42.9–60% (for DBE) and 59.4–80% (for CE).^{99 100} Complete small bowel examination was achieved more frequently by capsule endoscopy⁹⁹ (90.6% compared to 62.5%, respectively; $p < 0.05$).

Historically, intra-operative endoscopy has been considered the gold standard in patients with OGB and negative standard endoscopic evaluation. When compared to intraoperative endoscopy, capsule endoscopy had sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values of 95%, 75%, 95% and 86%, respectively.¹⁷² *An algorithm for investigation of patients with OGB is suggested in fig. 1.¹⁷³ (recommendation grade B)*

5.2.2 Crohn's disease

The small bowel is commonly affected by Crohn's disease. Endoscopically, however, the small bowel is relatively inaccessible. In addition, ileal intubation is not always achieved at colonoscopy. Small bowel contrast studies have variable success rates in diagnosing active Crohn's disease.^{1 174–176} Whilst CT may be effective in diagnosing small bowel thickening and complications of Crohn's disease, its accuracy in determining the presence of mucosal disease is unknown. This difficulty partly explains a mean delay of between 1 and 7 years from onset of symptoms to diagnosis.^{177 178}

A number of studies have now addressed the question of how best to investigate patients in whom conventional tests have failed to confirm a diagnosis of active Crohn's disease. These include patients with symptoms of pain, diarrhoea, weight loss, or investigational findings including iron deficient anaemia and an acute phase response.¹⁷⁹ Which combination of these features accurately predicts a diagnosis of Crohn's disease is not known, but a consensus group has suggested that further investigation using CE might be considered in patients with two or more of these criteria.¹⁷⁹ *(recommendation grade C)*

A number of studies performed have compared capsule endoscopy with colonoscopy and ileoscopy, small bowel follow through, CT enteroclysis and MRI.^{180–184} In addition to confirming suspected Crohn's disease and assessing disease extent, CE has also been used in the context of recurrence of disease post-operatively.¹⁸⁵

Capsule endoscopy versus endoscopy

Evidence of Crohn's disease was found by capsule endoscopy in 43–71% of patients typically suspected of having Crohn's disease in which colonoscopy (and small bowel radiography) had previously been normal.^{181 182 186} An analysis of four prospective comparative studies (total of 115 patients) showed a diagnostic yield of 61% for CE compared to 46% for ileo-colonoscopy in the detection of small bowel Crohn's ($p = 0.02$;

